

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,177	BENSE, LASZLO	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carlos A. Azpuru	1615		

## All Participants:

**Status of Application:** abandoned

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(2) Richard R. Michaud. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## **Part I.**

### Rejection(s) discussed:

<sup>112</sup>, first and second; double patenting; 35 USC 102(b); 35 USC 103(a)

#### **Claims discussed:**

all

#### Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*It was confirmed that the application had been abandoned.*

### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)